Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate the addition of Node No. CRAN RSFR NOVA0 004 to the AT&T network in Novato, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency ("RF") electromagnetic fields.

Executive Summary

AT&T proposes to install a cylindrical antenna on the municipal light pole sited in the public right-of-way near 7123 Redwood Boulevard in Novato. The proposed operation will comply with the FCC guidelines limiting public exposure to RF energy.

Prevailing Exposure Standard

The U.S. Congress requires that the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") evaluate its actions for possible significant impact on the environment. A summary of the FCC's exposure limits is shown in Figure 1. These limits apply for continuous exposures and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. The most restrictive limit for exposures of unlimited duration at several wireless service bands are as follows:

	Transmit	"Uncontrolled"	Occupational Limit
Wireless Service Band	Frequency	Public Limit	(5 times Public)
Microwave (point-to-point)	1–80 GHz	1.0 mW/cm^2	5.0 mW/cm^2
Millimeter-wave	24–47	1.0	5.0
Part 15 (WiFi & other unlicensed)	2–6	1.0	5.0
C-Band	3,700 MHz	1.0	5.0
CBRS (Citizens Broadband Radio)	3,550	1.0	5.0
BRS (Broadband Radio)	2,490	1.0	5.0
WCS (Wireless Communication)	2,305	1.0	5.0
AWS (Advanced Wireless)	2,110	1.0	5.0
PCS (Personal Communication)	1,930	1.0	5.0
Cellular	869	0.58	2.9
SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio)	854	0.57	2.85
700 MHz	716	0.48	2.4
600 MHz	617	0.41	2.05
[most restrictive frequency range]	30-300	0.20	1.0



General Facility Requirements

Wireless nodes typically consist of two distinct parts: the electronic transceivers (also called "radios") that are connected to a central "hub" (which in turn are connected to the traditional wired telephone lines), and the passive antenna(s) that send the wireless signals created by the radios out to be received by individual subscriber units. The radios are often located on the same pole as the antennas and are connected to the antennas by coaxial cables. Because of the short wavelength of the frequencies assigned by the FCC for wireless services, the antennas require line-of-sight paths for their signals to propagate well and so are installed at some height above ground. The antennas are designed to concentrate their energy toward the horizon, with very little energy wasted toward the sky or the ground. This means that it is generally not possible for exposure conditions to approach the maximum permissible exposure limits without being physically very near the antennas.

Computer Modeling Method

The FCC provides direction for determining compliance in its Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC-Specified Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation," dated August 1997. Figure 2 describes the calculation methodologies, reflecting the facts that a directional antenna's radiation pattern is not fully formed at locations very close by (the "near-field" effect) and that at greater distances the power level from an energy source decreases with the square of the distance from it (the "inverse square law"). This methodology is an industry standard for evaluating RF exposure conditions and has been demonstrated through numerous field tests to be a conservative prediction of exposure levels.

Site and Facility Description

Based upon information provided by AT&T, including drawings by Modus, LLC, dated October 19, 2021, it is proposed to install one Denki Kogyo Model DKOBDYKDP-7R45F, 2-foot tall, omnidirectional^{*} cylindrical antenna on top of the light pole sited in the public right-of-way on the southwest side of Redwood Boulevard in Novato, about 75 feet northwest of its intersection with Lamont Avenue, adjacent to the Chevrolet dealership at 7123 Redwood Boulevard. The antenna would employ 10° downtilt and would be mounted at an effective height of about $31\frac{1}{2}$ feet above ground. The maximum effective radiated power proposed in any direction is 1,040 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 520 watts each for AWS and for PCS service. There are reported no other wireless telecommunications base stations at the site or nearby.

Assumed to be omnidirectional, although manufacturer's patterns show reduced power in certain directions.

Study Results

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation is calculated to be 0.024 mW/cm², which is 2.4% of the applicable public exposure limit. The maximum calculated level at the second-floor elevation of any nearby building[†] is 5.7% of the public exposure limit. It should be noted that these results include several "worst-case" assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels from the proposed operation.

Recommended Mitigation Measures

Due to its mounting location and height, the antenna would not be accessible to unauthorized persons, and so no measures are necessary to comply with the FCC public exposure guidelines. To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, it is recommended that appropriate RF safety training be provided to all workers who have access within 20 feet outward from the antenna. No access within 4½ feet directly in front of the antenna should be allowed while the antenna is in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. It is recommended that explanatory signs[‡] be posted at the antenna and/or on the pole below the antenna, readily visible from any angle of approach.

Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that operation of the node proposed by AT&T Mobility near 7123 Redwood Boulevard in Novato, California, will comply with the prevailing standards for limiting public exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, will not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating nodes. Training authorized personnel and posting explanatory signs are recommended to establish compliance with FCC guidelines.

ŧ Signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (e.g., a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter, and guidelines from the landlord, local zoning or health authority, or appropriate professionals may be required.



Located at least 70 feet away, based on photographs from Google Maps.

Authorship

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration No. E-18063, which expires on June 30, 2023. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.



February 11, 2022



FCC Radio Frequency Protection Guide

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The FCC adopted the limits from Report No. 86, "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," published in 1986 by the Congressionally chartered National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements ("NCRP"). Separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits generally five times more restrictive. The more recent standard, developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers and approved as American National Standard ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2006, "Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz," includes similar limits. These limits apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health.

As shown in the table and chart below, separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits (in *italics* and/or dashed) up to five times more restrictive:

Frequency	Electro	magnetic F	Fields (f is fr	equency of	emission in	MHz)
Applicable Range (MHz)	Elec Field S (V/	etric trength m)	Mag Field S (A/	netic trength /m)	Equivalent Power I (mW)	t Far-Field Density /cm ²)
0.3 - 1.34	614	614	1.63	1.63	100	100
1.34 - 3.0	614	823.8/f	1.63	2.19/f	100	$180/f^2$
3.0 - 30	1842/ f	823.8/f	4.89/ f	2.19/f	900/ f 2	$180/f^2$
30 - 300	61.4	27.5	0.163	0.0729	1.0	0.2
300 - 1,500	3.54 √ f	1.59 √ f	√ f/106	√ f/238	f/300	<i>f/1500</i>
1,500 - 100,000	137	61.4	0.364	0.163	5.0	1.0
1000			- Occupat	ional Expo	sure	
		\mathbf{N}	C-II	PCS		



Frequency (MHz)

Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits, and higher levels also are allowed for exposures to small areas, such that the spatially averaged levels do not exceed the limits. However, neither of these allowances is incorporated in the FCC conservative calculation formulas in the Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) for projecting field levels. Hammett & Edison has incorporated those formulas in a computer program capable of calculating, at thousands of locations on an arbitrary grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency The program allows for the inclusion of uneven terrain in the vicinity, as well as any sources. number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain more accurate projections.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO ©2022

RFE.CALC[™] Calculation Methodology

Assessment by Calculation of Compliance with FCC Exposure Guidelines

Hammett & Edison has incorporated the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 ("OET-65") formulas (see Figure 1) in a computer program that calculates, at millions of locations on a grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency sources. The program uses the specific antenna patterns from the manufacturers and allows for the inclusion of uneven terrain in the vicinity, as well as any number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain accurate projections of RF exposure levels. The program can account for spatial-averaging when antenna patterns are sufficiently narrow, and time-averaging is typically considered when operation is in single-frequency bands, which require time-sharing between the base stat

$$\frac{0.1 \times 16 \times \eta \times P_{net}}{\pi \times h^2}$$

OET-65 provides this formula for calculating power density in the far-field from an individual RF source:

power density
$$S = \frac{2.56 \times 2 \times ERP}{in \, mW/cm^2}$$

where ERP = total Effective Radiated Power (all polarizations), in kilowatts,

RFF = three-dimensional relative field factor toward point of calculation, and

D = distance from antenna effective height to point of calculation, in meters.

The factor of 2.56 accounts for the increase in power density due to reflections, assuming a reflection coefficient of $1.6 (1.6 \times 1.6 = 2.56)$. This factor is typically used for all sources unless specific information from FCC filings by the manufacturer indicate that a different reflection coefficient would apply. The factor of 1.64 is the gain of a half-wave dipole relative to an isotropic radiator. The factor of 100 in the numerator converts to the desired units of power density.

Because antennas are not true "point sources," their signal patterns may not be fully formed at close distances and so exposure levels may be lower than otherwise calculated by the formula above. OET-65 recommends the cylindrical model formula below to account for this "near-field effect":

	power density	$S = \theta$	x D x h	$\frac{0.1 \times 16 \times \eta \times P_{net}}{\pi \times h^2}$	
where	$P_{net} = net power in$	putlto 16temps,	Phewatts,		
	θ = half-power b	eamwidth pf ar	nteni		
	D = distance from	n antenna effec	tive	⁻ lculation, in meters, and	ł
	h = aperture heighted by hei	ght of antenna,	in m		

The factor of 0.1 in the numerator converts to the desired units of power density.

OET-65 confirms that the "crossover" point between the near- and far-field regions is best determined by finding where the calculations coincide from the two different formulas, and the program uses both formulas to calculate power density.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO ©2022